

**To:** Andrea.Hogan@lw.com[Andrea.Hogan@lw.com]  
**Cc:** Steven.Lesan@lw.com[Steven.Lesan@lw.com]; Kelly.Richardson@LW.com[Kelly.Richardson@LW.com]; Jolish, Taly[jolish.taly@epa.gov]; jamie.eby@ch2m.com[jamie.eby@ch2m.com]; thompson, rachelle[thompson.rachelle@epa.gov]; SAB@weiss.com[SAB@weiss.com]  
**From:** Catherine W. Johnson  
**Sent:** Thur 12/10/2015 11:06:12 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Agenda for Meeting

Thanks Andrea, I suggested as a way to avoid coordinating with Montrose so we could select time at our discretion without the hassle of coordinating our schedules or an access agreement. But you are proposing that Montrose would be involved which doesn't get us there as we would still need an access agreement and still have to coordinate schedules. It would only streamline process if we conducted without Montrose. And on reflection our team believes better that both parties are there for any sampling. So let's stick to the initial plan and try to wrap up the access agreement.  
Catherine

---

**From:** Andrea.Hogan@lw.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2015 2:13:14 PM  
**To:** Catherine W. Johnson  
**Cc:** Steven.Lesan@lw.com; Kelly.Richardson@LW.com; jolish.taly@epa.gov; jamie.eby@ch2m.com; thompson.rachelle@epa.gov; SAB@weiss.com  
**Subject:** FW: Agenda for Meeting

Catherine:

In follow up to yesterday's meeting, I wanted to advise the group that Montrose is not mobilizing today to sample any rain event that may occur (for the reasons discussed yesterday). At this point, I assume this is clear, but we nonetheless wanted to confirm this.

Also, during yesterday's meeting, you offered that LRTC was willing to conduct the wet weather sampling. We did not discuss this in any detail during the meeting, but Montrose supports this with the caveat that Montrose would like a representative from Anchor to be present and to participate in the sampling event to obtain co-located samples. Our view is that any wet weather sampling should include the locations identified in the SQAPP Addendum No. 1 (other than embankment sampling) and any previously unidentified outfalls and seeps observed in the field. You may recall that we had previously—at the end of October/beginning of November—suggested that LRTC could conduct the sampling, with Anchor taking co-located samples.

We'd like to set up a call with you to discuss the possibility of LRTC conducting the sampling and/or to continue our discussion of the terms of the access agreement. Do you have any availability to discuss tomorrow (Friday) at or after 1 PM?

Thanks,  
Andrea

---

**From:** Catherine W. Johnson [mailto:CJohnson@hansonbridgett.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:39 PM  
**To:** 'Jolish, Taly'; Hogan, Andrea (SF); Richardson, Kelly (SD); Lesan, Steven (SD)  
**Cc:** thompson, rachelle; 'Scott A. Bourne'; jamie.eby@ch2m.com<mailto:jamie.eby@ch2m.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Agenda for Meeting

Clarification – the offer below on immediate access extends to discharges, if any, from any outfalls or

seams, whether such seams have been previously identified or not. Again, we understand tomorrow afternoon would be optimal time to sample, and we are prepared to provide access.

From: Jolish, Taly [mailto:jolish.taly@epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:25 PM  
To: Catherine W. Johnson; Andrea.Hogan@lw.com<mailto:Andrea.Hogan@lw.com>;  
Kelly.Richardson@LW.com<mailto:Kelly.Richardson@LW.com>;  
Steven.Lesan@lw.com<mailto:Steven.Lesan@lw.com>  
Cc: thompson, rachel; 'Scott A. Bourne'; jamie.eby@ch2m.com<mailto:jamie.eby@ch2m.com>  
Subject: RE: Agenda for Meeting

I have to leave right at 5pm, but still believe that Rachelle and I will have little to contribute today. We do however need a call-in number as soon as possible. I'm include Jamie Eby on this distribution, because he will be the one on our team to call in. Thanks.

From: Catherine W. Johnson [mailto:CJohnson@hansonbridgett.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:17 PM  
To: Jolish, Taly <jolish.taly@epa.gov><mailto:jolish.taly@epa.gov>;  
Andrea.Hogan@lw.com<mailto:Andrea.Hogan@lw.com>;  
Kelly.Richardson@LW.com<mailto:Kelly.Richardson@LW.com>;  
Steven.Lesan@lw.com<mailto:Steven.Lesan@lw.com>  
Cc: thompson, rachel <thompson.rachel@epa.gov><mailto:thompson.rachel@epa.gov>; 'Scott A. Bourne' <SAB@weiss.com><mailto:SAB@weiss.com>  
Subject: Agenda for Meeting

All,

We have a few issues that we believe are important to discuss, and which we expect will take a full two hours. If an additional meeting is required to discuss additional issues, we can do that too, but we would like to discuss these issue as the foundation for other discussions – both as to a legal and technical foundation for future sampling.

Among other things, we are concerned that this initial request for sampling will be followed by other requests, and that this may delay the entire remedy selection unless we all understand what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether the data can be used by EPA and for what purpose. We want to ensure that we address these issues thoughtfully and comprehensively rather than on an emergency basis. We do not want a sampling effort that EPA does not fully endorse and approve – particularly if it only serves to undermines EPA's own data, defers the remedy selection, and/or requires additional and duplicative sampling by either party.

Meanwhile, we again extend two offers we have made in the past and which are still open. First, EPA is welcome to conduct sampling that it believes appropriate. Second, LRTC is willing to provide access to Montrose to collect sampling activities to identify any discharges from any outfalls or seams under wet weather conditions - -subject to execution of an access agreement, which we previously provided and except for scope is the same agreement we previously executed for the recon activities.

Finally, if Montrose provides us with information about any other sampling activities that must be conducted during wet weather conditions, we will triage our efforts to address those issues during our meeting.

Best,

Catherine

---

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole

use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Latham & Watkins LLP

---